

Mobile biometric authentication: Device-centric vs. server-centric architecture



AWARE

781.276.4000 | sales@aware.com | www.aware.com

Aware, Inc., (NASDAQ: AWRE) is a leading authentication company applying proven and trusted adaptive authentication to solve everyday business challenges with biometrics. Aware's software and software-as-a-service offerings address the growing challenges that government and commercial enterprises face in knowing, authenticating and securing individuals through frictionless and highly secure user experiences.

Table of Contents

- 01 Introduction
- 01 The Ongoing Debate
- 02 Server-Centric Architecture
- 02 Device-Centric Architecture
- 02 Advantages of Each
- 05 Both Have Their Place in Biometric Authentication

Introduction

Multiple biometric modalities for authentication can achieve higher biometric performance.

Biometrics use “inherent” factors (something the user is) to authenticate a user’s identity. Relative to knowledge- and possession-based authentication methods (something the user knows and something the user has), inherent authentication factors like biometrics are difficult to steal and spoof. We can’t easily tell when a fraudster uses a stolen password or mobile device, but with biometrics and liveness detection we can better detect when a fraudster is at work so that their access can be prevented.

Increasingly, modern smart phones incorporate biometric authentication capabilities, often using custom sensors.

But incorporating biometrics into the mobile application achieves several goals:

- Authentication performance that is known, and not dependent on user’s device.
- Flexibility to apply different biometric modalities and matching thresholds.
- Consistent user experience between devices.
- Universal support for password free experience regardless of user device.

Using multiple biometric modalities for authentication (e.g. keystroke analysis with facial recognition) can achieve higher biometric performance by creating more obstacles for cybercriminals without compromising convenience.

The Ongoing Debate

While most security experts can see eye-to-eye on the benefits of biometric-based mobile authentication, the underlying architecture with which to implement biometrics is less clear; more specifically, whether a server or device-centric architecture is more effective and secure.

The client-versus-server debate is as old as computing itself; we have seen it take place in countless other computing applications and environments. There have been ebbs and flows as mobile devices, networks, and software have become much more powerful and sophisticated. At its most basic level,

biometric authentication has a lot in common with other classic computing tasks; the need to capture data, analyze the data, provide a result, and do it in a secure and efficient fashion. So we see some of same issues with biometrics that we have seen before.

This paper illuminates some of the question around device and server-centric biometric authentication by identifying their respective strengths and weaknesses and providing examples of where one may be preferred over the other.



The biometric template is enrolled and stored centrally in a secure server.

Server-Centric Architecture

In this setup, the biometric template is enrolled and stored centrally in a secure server. Matching and liveness detection upon an authentication attempt are performed centrally, as opposed to on each individual device. Each time the

user performs a verification attempt, the captured sample is sent to the central matching engine, where it is processed and matched against the enrolled template stored centrally.

Device-Centric Architecture

The analysis, biometric template creation, storage, and matching all occur locally on the device. In a FIDO-compliant system, a successful biometric match grants access to a private key stored on the device, which is in turn

used to respond to a PKI challenge from a relying party, such as a bank or retailer whose app is running on the device. The private key never actually leaves the mobile device.

Advantages of Each

Clearly there are advantages, disadvantages, and tradeoffs associated with both architectures. These characteristics will lend themselves to distinct use cases.

Where one method may excel another may fall short, but one is not necessarily better than the other.

Issue	Advantage: Device-Centric	Advantage: Server-Centric	Reason
Large-scale data breaches	✓		A single breach of the central storage location exposes many more.
Perimeter defense		✓	Central storage and processes means that the perimeter is smaller and therefore easier to defend.
Lost or stolen devices		✓	Server-centric architect segregates biometric data from other PII. A lost or stolen device contains the sample but also an individual's identity.
Device dependencies		✓	Reduces mobile app size, easier downloads and takes up less space on the device.
Man-in-the-middle attacks	✓		Biometric templates and other private data are less vulnerable to interception since they never leave the device.
Use on multiple devices		✓	By storing and matching on the server, multiple devices can be used for authentication
Cross-jurisdictional mobility	✓		No cross-jurisdictional transit of biometric data since the credentials are only ever with the device.
Scalability	✓		Processing and storage of biometric data is distributed across devices instead of all being done in a central location.
Data analysis		✓	Observe, analyze, and learn from data to improve biometric matching and liveness detection algorithms.
Bandwidth and data consumption	✓		Less data transmitted between device and server. Faster authentication.
Device support		✓	Works on devices with less processing power sometimes needed for device-side biometrics.
Biometric data validation		✓	Supervise and validate enrolled biometrics (e.g. as part or a more thorough identity proofing process).
Multi-purpose biometric matching		✓	Use biometric data for other matching purposes (e.g. identity proofing, search against other data).

Priorities	
Device-centric	Server-centric
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prevention of large scale breach • High scalability • Enabling modern devices • Avoidance of cloud resource consumption 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Control and oversight of authentication process • Analysis of data to improve matching and liveness detection performance • Avoid mobile app complexity and large downloads • Support for less powerful devices • Support for multiple devices for each user

A FIDO Certified approach allows an organization to enable strong biometric authentication into their mobile app.

Biometrics are an attractive alternative to passwords as a second authentication factor because they are inherently convenient and unique. They are easy to use but difficult to steal and to spoof. But each biometric modality has unique characteristics that bring advantages and disadvantages in terms of both security and convenience.

Cue Multimodal Biometrics for Authentication

Multimodal biometrics have traditionally been seen as a way to improve biometric performance in terms of false match and false non-match scores; the more data that can be used for biometric matching, the better the performance. But multiple modes can also be used to improve their

A server-centric approach is likely preferred for organizations that desire a high degree of control and oversight over the mobile authentication function, and the capacity to manage and secure the proper storage and use of the biometric data. Organizations can also analyze the biometric data they collect to improve the performance of matching and liveness algorithms. By storing more resources and functions in the

cloud rather than on the device itself, it reduces app size and complexity, but can be a bigger challenge to scale. As a result, server-centric authentication may also function more effectively with devices that have limited memory and processing power. However, mobile network bandwidth constraints can slow the authentication process.

A device-centric approach is likely the best option for organizations with a primary objective of preventing large-scale breaches of customer data. A FIDO Certified approach allows an organization such as a bank to enable strong biometric authentication into their mobile app without having to store, manage, and process customer data on a central server.

The tradeoff is that there is more size and complexity in the app, since the biometric processes all take place on the app, which less powerful devices may not easily support. That said, a device-centric approach is more scalable, and the FIDO Alliance provides for a standards-based approach and a marketplace of vetted products.

Both Have Their Place in Biometric Authentication

Biometrics make mobile authentication more convenient and more secure. As a result, it is quickly becoming **the preferred authentication method** in multiple industries and in countries across the globe, according to Frost & Sullivan.

The availability of multiple storage methods may further expedite the spread of biometric authentication, since server and device-side architecture provide flexible options for organizations that would seek to implement it.

Therefore, both storage architectures have their place, and organizations are encouraged to select one based on their needs, capabilities, risk profiles, and available resources.

AWARE

www.aware.com/contact

Sources:

<https://www2.frost.com/frost-perspectives/biometric-technology-rapidly-becoming-preferred-technology-identity-and-authentication-across-sectors-globally/>

<https://fidoalliance.org/specifications/overview/>